5 Reasons to Reject Evolution

Intro: Evolution is an attempt to find a natural cause for a supernatural event.

WHY do people look to evolution for answers?

Why do Christians need logic and science?

What is the best way to defend your faith?

Charles Darwin (200 years old) originated the concept of macro evolution through the survival of the fittest in “Origin of the Species: The Preservation of Favored Species. It proclaimed the supremacy of the white race and the eventual extinction of the weaker races. Hitler used the concept to promote the ideology of the master race and the justification to exterminate the weaker people.

Judaism, Christianity, and Islam (monotheistic religions) all believe in Creationism. Adam was a literal, created person—not evolved.

Old Earth theory vs. New Earth
Creationists want to divide on this topic. It is not relevant to evolution theory but one is still not Biblical. The Earth was created in SIX literal TWENTY-FOUR hour days.

Micro Evolution vs. Macro Evolution

Micro = change within a species
Macro = process of genetic mutation that allows one species to evolve into a new species.

1) The potential of an EFFECT cannot be greater than the potential of the CAUSE.

a. If you wanted to break wood (EFFECT) with a punch (CAUSE) you must have enough force to accomplish it.

b. If you want to achieve self consciousness (EFFECT) you cannot start with non self conscious material.

c. Synergy cannot overcome this problem.

2) Macro evolution teaches:

a. TIME + CHANCE = ORDER
   i. Alpha Bits cereal
   ii. Monkey typing your term paper
   iii. 10 dismantled clocks mixed together in a box

b. TIME + CHANCE = CHAOS

Either “a” is true or “b” is true. Both cannot be true OR both can be false.
3) Science has never witnessed an increase in genetic information from one generation to the next.
   a. Truth = actual genetic change is always a reduction of information
   b. Truth = mutations result in death, not greater life forms.
      i. Transparent reptile living in a cave
      ii. Line of all humans at the edge of a cliff will not result in growing wings

4) Irreducible Specified Complexity
   a. The complexity we see may be in existence from the beginning
      i. Giraffe...heart/neck/valve/sponge. Drink water and survival from predators
      ii. Human cell cannot function with more or less complexity.

5) Macro Evolution is NOT scientific
   a. True science must be TESTABLE, REPEATABLE, and OBSERVABLE
   b. Textbooks teach evolution as scientific fact---

   At first, it may seem that there is no way to resolve the debate since we have different worldviews.
   Is there “neutral ground” in between the secular and biblical worldviews?

   “Neutral ground” is a secular concept. It is therefore not neutral. Christians who try to debate on “neutral ground” have already lost since they have given up what they are trying to defend.
World views

A Creationist and Evolutionist have the same evidence. Each person comes to an entirely different belief. Which is harder to believe? Which requires more faith?

Evidence will not convince a person. You must understand that each person has his own world view.

1) The Grand Canyon
2) The complexity of the human body
3) Noah Ark

Biblical Worldview

Without the Bible there can be no:

1) Laws of Logic
2) Uniformity of Nature
3) Absolute morality

“It is lying to children to teach them creation” How does a world view without God determine what is right or wrong?" Even an evolutionist has to take from the Christian worldview to make his case.
Fallacies of Evolution

1) **Fallacy of Equivocation**
   a. You believe in science...evolution is science...therefore you should believe in evolution.
      i. Truth: I enjoy science...evolution is bad science...therefore I reject evolution
      ii. Evolution is an unsubstantiated conjecture of the past.
      iii. The “theory of evolution” suggests there is observational evidence. There is NONE!

2) **Fallacy of Reification** (giving attributes to a concept)
   a. Nature “selects” those individuals that are most fit for the environment.
      i. Nature does not have the ability to select anything.
   b. The evidence of evolution “speaks” for itself.
      i. Evidence cannot “speak”. People interpret evidence.
   c. Science “says”...
      i. Scientist say...science cannot speak.

3) **Fallacy of Presumption**
   a. “I don’t live by faith. I’m rational.”
      i. A critic of Christians assumes that we either live by faith OR we are rational.
      ii. Truth- I am a rational person of faith.

4) **Fallacy of Circular Logic**
   a. “We know evolution is true because it is scientific fact”
      i. In this statement the conclusion (evolution is true) is part of the premise
         (because it is scientific fact).
   b. This is what an evolutionist SHOULD say to stay true to his faith;
      i. “We know evolution is true because the alternative (Creationism) is impossible.”
      ii. Without Divine intervention there is no creation.

Laws of Nature do not replace God’s power. They ARE God’s power. He made the laws of nature and uses them to preserve the rest of his creation.